Antyodaya Anna Yojana

†1404. DR. PRABHA THAKUR: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of persons benefited from Antyodaya Anna Yojana in the States in the last two years as on date;

(b) the quantity of foodgrains lifted under this plan during the last two years, State-wise;

(c) whether the foodgrains meant for targeted groups of people are actually reaching upto them or not, whether any study has been conducted ascertain this fact; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (DR. AKHILESH PRASAD SINGH): (a) A Statement I showing status of identification of households under AAY during 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08 (upto 17th August) is enclosed *(See* below).

(b) A Statement II showing quantity of foodgrains lifted under Antyodaya Anna Yojana is enclosed *(See* below).

(c) and (d) The foodgrains allocated for the targeted groups are being distributed to them by State/UT Governments. However, to get independent feed back, the Targeted Public Distribution System was got evaluated by Programme Evaluation Organisation (PEO) of Planning Commission and ORG Marg, New Delhi.

Their reports received in year 2005 reported that there were diversions/leakages of foodgrains, inclusion and exclusion errors in identifying targeted beneficiaries and operations of Fair Price Shops (FPSs) were not financially viable.

Therefore, to strengthen the TPDS, including curbing of leakages and diversion, a nine-point action plan was formulated in 2006. This is being implemented by State/UT Governments. Its implementation is being monitored by the Central Government. Requisite actions are taken by the State Government and UT Administration against those found indulging in malpractices.

Additionally, a revised Citizens' Charter has been issued recently for adoption and implementation by State/UT Governments for facilitating use by citizens of provisions of Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005 in relation to functioning of TPDS.

Statement-I

Status of identification of households under AAY during 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07 *and* 2007-08 *(upto Xlth August,* 2007*/*

(Fig. in lakh)

SL No.	States/UTs	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Andhra Pradesh	12.336	15.578	15.578	15.578

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

1	2	3	4	5	6
2	Arunachal Pradesh	0.301	0.380	0.380	0.380
3	Assam	2.815	5.575	7.005	7.040
4	Bihar	10.000	15.003	24.134	24.285
5	Chhattisgarh	5.693	7.189	7.189	7.189
6	Delhi	0.555	0.555	0.555	0.985
7	Goa	0.110	0.145	0.145	0.145
8	Gujarat	4.876	6.437	8.098	8.098
9	Haryana	1.815	1.815	2.924	2.924
10	Himachal Pradesh	1.182	1.182	1.971	1.971
11	J and K	1.693	2.235	2.557	2.557
12	Jharkhand	7.268	7.268	7.268	7.268
13	Karnataka	9.500	11.997	11.997	11.997
14	Kerala	3.574	3.574	5.958	5.958
15	Madhya Pradesh	12.525	12.525	15.645	15.816
16	Maharashtra	19.838	19.838	23.741	24.100
17	Manipur	0.255	0.504	0.504	0.504
18	Meghalaya	0.421	0.556	0.702	0.702
19	Mizoram	0.206	0.261	0.261	0.261
20	Nagaland	0.376	0.475	0.475	0.475
21	Orissa	7.585	10.013	12.645	12.645
22	Punjab	0.717	1.388	1.794	1.794
23	Rajasthan	3.726	7.355	9.279	9.321
24	Sikkim	0.099	0.099	0.165	0.165
25	Tamil Nadu	11.185	18.646	18.646	18.646
26	Tripura	0.679	0.679	0.679	1.131
27	Uttar Pradesh	32.423	40.945	40.945	40.945
28	Uttaranchal	0.763	1.145	1.512	1.512
29	West Bengal	11.912	14.799	14.799	14.799
30	A and N Islands	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043
31	Chandigarh	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
32	D and N Haveli	0.028	0.037	0.052	0.052
33	Daman and Diu	0.006	0.015	0.015	0.015
34	Lakshadweep	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.012
35	Pondicherry	0.193	0.322	0.322	0.322
	TOTAL:	164.723	208.603	238.008	239.656

Statement-II

Quantity of foodgrains lifted under Antyodaya Anna Yojana

SL No.	States/UTs	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08 (Upto June, 07)
		AAY	AAY	AAY
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	608.56	656.771	165.098
2	Arunachal Pradesh	12.4	9.069	0.16
3	Assam	181.09	272.045	79.107
4	Bihar	421.06	566.332	188.156
5	Chhattisgarh	229.189	313.059	81.913
6	Delhi	22.15	22.884	5.74
7	Goa	2.447	3.94	1.256
8	Gujarat	192.34	259.993	71.563
9	Haryana	76.89	102.921	29.172
10	Himachal Pradesh	55.6	77244	19.114
11	J and K	73.09	98.433	27.042
12	Jharkhand	282.63	299266	73.831
13	Karnataka	378.35	465.2	118.316
14	Kerala	200.722	249.313	62.573
15	Madhya Pradesh	509.58	603.987	156.776
16	Maharashtra	736.41	698.753	195.795
17	Manipur	13.96	18.411	5.06
18	Meghalaya	22.72	23.352	7.152
19	Mizoram	10.01	11.246	3.24
20	Nagaland	16.44	22.976	5.834
21	Orissa	450.493	433.288	105.847
22	Punjab	18.24	33.563	7.309
23	Rajasthan	300.57	348.024	89.895
24	Sikkim	4.32	6.474	1.738
25	Tamil Nadu	657.63	775.366	196227
26	Tripura	28.53	25.458	8.044
27	Uttar Pradesh	1438.289	1692.331	433.031

1	2	3	4	5
28	Uttaranchal	34.615	47.404	15.293
29	West Bengal	454.3	515.641	133.937
30	A and N Islands	0.53	0.82	0.271
31	Chandigarh	0	0.07	0.391
32	D and N Haveli	0.72	0.38	0.15
33	Daman and Diu	0.22	0.37	0.029
34	Lakshadweep	0.33	0.16	0
35	Pondicherry	7.91	7.14	2.108
	TOTAL:	7,442.34	8,661.68	2,291.17

Improvement of health infrastructure

1405. SHRI RAVULA CHANDRA SEKAR REDDY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are making any efforts in a bid to improve health infrastructure and provide quality medical education in the country;

(b) if so, whether Government are upgrading institutions for this purpose;

(c) if so, the details thereof, State-wise;

(d) the cost estimated for this purpose; and

(e) the amount allocated and spent for each institution, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) Under National Rural Health Mission (NRHM), the Primary Health Centres (PHCs) in the country have been provided with funds @ Rs.50,000/- per PHC as Annual Maintenance Grant to undertake and supervise improvements and maintenance of physical infrastructure. As for to provide quality medical education in the country, the Medical Council of India (MCI) is making all efforts to provide quality medical education in the country by conducting inspections for various under graduate and post graduate courses and by offering recommendations in case of deficiencies of teaching faculty, clinical material, infrastructure, instrumentation and equipment etc. The State-wise funds released for PHCs are given in Statement-I *(See* below).

(b) to (e) The Community Health Centres (CHCs) and District Hospitals in the country havt been provided funds for upgradation of these institutions to Indian Public Health Standards (IPHS). They have been requested to carry out facility survey of these institutions so as to estimate the total amount of funds required for their upgradation in terms of manpower, infrastructure, equipments, medicine availability etc. State-wise amount of funds released for CHCs and District Hospitals are given in Statement-II